

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,527	10/01/2003	Eiko Seidel	2003_1399	5347
513 7:	590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			JUNG, MIN	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2663	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/674,527	SEIDEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Min Jung	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 October 2003.					
	•					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No. <u>09/858,590</u> . ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	 □	(DTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 9-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, line 5, it is not clear if only one indicator is assigned to one of the protocol data units, or indicators are assigned to each respective protocol data units. At lines 6-7, the clause is tangled, and therefore, unclear in meaning; is the indicator transmitted on a control channel along with an allocation message, which includes information about the channelization code of the data channel? It is not clear what are carried in the control channel, and what is the relationship among different pieces of information, e.g., among the indicator, allocation message, and information about the channelization code?

In claim 11, line 5, it is not clear if only one indicator is assigned to one of the protocol data units, or indicators are assigned to each respective protocol data units. At lines 6-7, it is not clear if the recitation relates to a certain one of the protocol data units.

Claims 14 and 23 contain indefiniteness which is similar in nature as the ones pointed out for claim 9. Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 2663

Claims 18 and 24 contain indefiniteness which is similar in nature as the ones pointed out for claim 11. Clarification is required.

Additionally, in claim 23 and 24, it is not clear whether or not the transmission apparatus transmits the indicator as well, since the claim recitation is tangled and unclear in meaning. It is also not clear whether or not the reception apparatus is operable to receive the plurality of protocol data units and the indicator.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-13, 18-22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Support of Hybrid ARQ Type II/III in the Physical Layer", TSG-RAN Working Group 1 meeting #4 (TSG-RAN, hereinafter).

Regarding claims 11-13, 18-22, and 24, TSG-RAN teaches that for HARQ Type II/III, the PDU number (indicator or sequence number) must be transmitted separately from the PDU data, and that the numbering information is sent in a separate Transport Channel. TSG-RAN, however, fails to specifically teach that the PDU number is transmitted before transmitting the PDU. TSG-RAN, by teaching the transmission in separate Transport channel (separate physical channel), inherently teaches the asynchronous nature of transmission. Therefore, it can be assumed that the

Application/Control Number: 10/674,527 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

transmission of PDU number may happen before or after the transmission of the PDU. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that it would make much more sense to send PDU number before sending PDU since the receiving end would utilize PDU number for the reception of corresponding PDU. TSG-RAN suggests this in the discussion of "Hybrid ARQ Type II/III at the receiving side" in the middle of page 2. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement TSG-RAN teaching by transmitting the PDU number (indicator or sequence number) before transmitting PDU in order for receiver to utilize the PDU number information for receiving the PDUs.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Furuskar et al. patent is cited for further references.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday, Friday 7:30 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,527 Page 5

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ August 18, 2005

Min Jung Primary Examiner